10/9/69

Dear Emery,

The enclosures with your letter of the 6th are a delight!

No one could script it like the ghosts themselves! From Hoover down-or up, depending on how you consider it/him.

My own opinion is that CE2655 is in error. This is the kind of error that is not impossible. Depending on the interpretation of "on duty with the United States Embassy in Moscow" is read. However, if one choses to really mean working for the government, it is not inconsistent with other evidence, for example, Oswald's letter to Connally.

You have been your usual relentless self.

I would like very much to use this in AGENT OSWALD, one of the many books I've started, with your permission. If there is anything you'd like masked, anything you'd like eliminated (your name? but I prefer to credit), please let me know or, better yet, please make as clear a set as you can with the omissions.

There is something not unrelated to this that a very fine researcher has just come accross. He is Paul Hoch, 2537 Regent St., #202, Berkeley, Calif. 94704. It seems that the Texas HEW was alerted to the fact that Oswald was entitled to aid (for which he never asked). If you'd like what he has on this, why not send Paul a set of what you sent me, except the last, unrelated page? It is possible he and the also-fine people working with him may have other relative things. Anything you want confidential, tell him. He is as dependable as anyone can possibly be, and as well informed, including in the unpublished material.

On that last page, would you mind asking the masked source, if it does not violate his obligations, the same question about a six-digit numbero and if repeating numbers can have any significance?

Again many thanks. Keep it up!

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg